This article was downloaded by: [New York University] On: 25 June 2015, At: 02:55 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tbsd20</u>

196 Antiinflamatory activity of phenolic compounds extracted from Uruguayan propolis and grape

Elena Alvareda^{ab}, Pablo Miranda^{ac}, Victoria Espinosa^d, Helena Pardo^c, Sara Aguilera^e & Margot Paulino Zunini^a

^a Centro de Bioinformática Estructural, DETEMA - Facultad de Química, UdelaR, Montevideo, UruguayTel.: (598) 473-20412 int 105

- ^b Facultad de Química, Regional Norte
- ^c Centro Nanomat, Polo Tecnológico de Pando Facultad de Química, UdelaR

^d Escuela de Medicina, Facultad de Ciencias Médicas - Universidad de Santiago de Chile (USACH)

^e Departamento de Física, Universidad Católica del Norte - Chile Published online: 23 Jun 2015.

To cite this article: Elena Alvareda, Pablo Miranda, Victoria Espinosa, Helena Pardo, Sara Aguilera & Margot Paulino Zunini (2015) 196 Antiinflamatory activity of phenolic compounds extracted from Uruguayan propolis and grape, Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics, 33:sup1, 129-129, DOI: <u>10.1080/07391102.2015.1032833</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2015.1032833</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

^aInstitute of Cell Biophysics Russian Academy of Sciences, Pushchino, Russia; ^bVoronezh State University, Voronezh, Russia

*Email: max.kondratiev@gmail.com, Phone: +7 4967 739 404, Fax: +7 4967 330 509

196 Antiinflamatory activity of phenolic compounds extracted from Uruguayan propolis and grape

Elena Alvareda^{a,b}*, Pablo Miranda^{a,c}, Victoria Espinosa^d, Helena Pardo^c, Sara Aguilera^e and Margot Paulino Zunini^a

^aCentro de Bioinformática Estructural, DETEMA – Facultad de Química, UdelaR, Montevideo, Uruguay; ^bFacultad de Química, Regional Norte; ^cCentro Nanomat, Polo Tecnológico de Pando – Facultad de Química, UdelaR; ^dEscuela de Medicina, Facultad de Ciencias Médicas – Universidad de Santiago de Chile (USACH); ^eDepartamento de Física, Universidad Católica del Norte – Chile *Email: alvareda@fg.edu.uy, Phone: (598) 473-20412 int 105

Propolis and grape pomace have significant amounts of phenols that can take part in antiinflammatory mechanisms (Paulino Zunini et al., 2010).

As the cyclooxygenases 2 and 1 (COX-2 and COX-1) are involved in them, the ability of phenolic extracts to inhibit these enzymes was analyzed in vitroand in silico. Samples of propolis and grape pomace from 2 grape species collected between 2008 and 2013 from different places of Uruguay and in different seasons were used (Rimon et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010).

Based on phenols previously identified, and taking as reference a crystallographic structure of COX-2 (3LN1) and COX-1 (3KK6) bound to celecoxib, a molecular docking procedure was adjusted to reproduce the celecoxib position in the cristal and then used with the phenolic molecular models. The docking was performed with the MOE 2009.10 (Molecular Operating Environment) (ChemComp Co.) with the Affinity dG function for Scoring and Re-scoring, the MMFF94x force field to refinement and the Alpha Triangle as the placement algorithm.

When phenols were docked to COX-2, the most common binding aminoacids were Tyr341, Tyr101, Val74, Pro71, Arg106, Glu510, Leu109 and Ser105. In the case of COX-1, they were Glu524, Pro86 and Arg120. From best docked phenol-COXs complexes, 10 ns of Molecular Dynamics simulations were performed by triplicate, using Amber99 force field. The interaction energy phenol-environment was averaged and compared with the docking binding energies (Score) for COX-2 and COX-1 respectively and with those of in vitroassays that showed an inhibition range of 5,0–43,5% of COX-2 and 6,1–46,0% of COX-1.

We thank PEDECIBA QUÍMICA for the economic support, and Urimpex and Castel Pujol for the propolis and grape pomace samples respectively.

References

- Paulino Zunini, M., Rojas, C., Depaula, S., Elingold, I., Alvareda, E., Casanova, ... Dubin, M. (2010). Phenolic contents and antioxidant activity in central southern Uruguayan propolis extracts. *Journal of Chilean Chemical Society*, 55, 141–146.
- Rimon, G., Sidhu, R. S., Lauver, D. A., Lee, J. Y., Sharma, N. P., Yuan, C., ... Smith, W. L. (2010). Coxibs interfere with the action of aspirin by binding tightly to one monomer of cyclooxygenase-1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107, 28–33.
- Wang, J. L., Limburg, D., Graneto, M. J., Springer, J., Hamper, J. R., Liao, S., ... Carter, J. (2010). The novel benzopyran class of selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors. Part 2: The second clinical candidate having a shorter and favorable human half-life. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 7159–7163.

197 Combination of e-pharmacophore modeling, multiple docking strategies and molecular dynamic simulations to discover of novel antagonists of BACE1

Natarajan Pradeep, Manne Munikumar, Sandeep Swargam, Kanipakam Hema, Katari Sudheer Kumar and Amineni Umamaheswari*

Bioinformatics Centre, Department of Bioinformatics, Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Science University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh – 517507, India *Email: svims.btisnet@nic.in, Phone: +91 877 2287727

Beta-site amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme (BACE1 or β -secretase), an aspartic protease responsible for ramping up of A β -40/42 peptide fragments by cleaving APP that conciliate for the production of senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles. Thus it leads to the non-specific road blocks for physical transport and neuro transmitters elevating the hippocampal neuronal damage which eases the memory deficits in Alzheimer's disease (AD). Imparted fundamental role of β-secretase in the formation of Aβ peptide leading to AD, it has been a major therapeutic target for AD intervention, hence was targeted in the present work. One hundred and twenty-nine crystal structures were refined with respective co-crystal ligands to generate 129 e-pharmacophore models based on the interaction energy (Ravichand et al.,